2:05-cv-74746-PDB-RSW Doc # 42 Filed 12/01/06 Pg 1 of 4 Pg ID 533

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RICHARD WILTSIE,

Plaintiff,

Hon. Paul D. Borman Magistrate Judge Capel Case No. 2:05cv74746

vs.

COGNEX CORPORATION, a Massachusetts corporation,

Defendant.

Michael L. Pitt (P24428) Teri L. Johnson (P68884)

Pitt, McGehee, Mirer, Palmer & Ri ers P.C.

117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200 Royal Oak, Michigan 48067 Telephone: 248.398.9800

Facsimile: 248.398.9804 Attorneys for Plaintiff John F. Birmingham, Jr. (P47150) Jennifer L. Neumann (P64798)

Foley & Lardner LLP One Detroit Center

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700

Detroit, MI 48226-3489 Telephone: 313.234.7100 Facsimile: 313.234.2800 Attorneys for Defendant

James E. O'Connell, Jr. (BBO# 376475) Jennifer A. Yelen (BBO# 565205)

Shilepsky O'Connell Casey Hartley Michon

Yelen LLP

225 Franklin Street, 16th Floor

Boston, MA 02110-2898 Telephone: 617.723.8000 Facsimile: 617.447.2800 Co-Counsel for Defendant

STIPULATION TO AMEND ORDER ON REMAND FROM DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

The parties to this matter hereby stipulate and agree that the court enter the amended order on remand from the District Court Judge (Borman, J.) in the form attached. This stipulation is made without waiver of the parties' rights to object to this amended order pursuant to Rule 72(a).

/s/ Teri L. Johnson

Michael L. Pitt (P24428)

Teri L. Johnson (P68884)

PITT, MCGEHEE, MIRER, PALMER &

RIVERS P.C.

117 West Fourth Street, Suite 200

Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

248.398.9800

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: November 27, 2006

/s/ Jennifer L. Neumann

John F. Birmingham, Jr. (P47150)

Jeffrey S. Kopp (P59485)

Jennifer L. Neumann (P64798)

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

500 Woodward Ave,. Ste. 2700

Detroit, MI 48226

313.234.7100

Attorneys for Defendant

James E. O'Connell, Jr. (BBO# 376475)

Jennifer A. Yelen (BBO# 565205)

Shilepsky O'Connell Casey Hartley Michon

Yelen LLP

225 Franklin Street, 16th Floor

Boston, MA 02110-2898 Telephone: 617.723.8000 Facsimile: 617.447.2800 Co-Counsel for Defendant

Dated: November 27, 2006

DETR_135706.1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

RICHARD V	NILTSIE	
-----------	---------	--

Plaintiff,

Magistrate Judge Capel vs. Case No. 2:05cv74746

COGNEX CORPORATION, a Massachusetts corporation,

Defendant.

Michael L. Pitt (P24428) Teri L. Johnson (P68884)

Pitt, McGehee, Mirer, Palmer & Ri ers P.C.

117 W. Fourth Street, Suite 200 Royal Oak, Michigan 48067

Telephone: 248.398.9800 Facsimile: 248.398.9804 Attorneys for Plaintiff John F. Birmingham, Jr. (P47150)

Jennifer L. Neumann (P64798)

Foley & Lardner LLP One Detroit Center

Hon. Paul D. Borman

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 2700

Detroit, MI 48226-3489 Telephone: 313.234.7100 Facsimile: 313.234.2800 Attorneys for Defendant

James E. O'Connell, Jr. (BBO# 376475)

Jennifer A. Yelen (BBO# 565205)

Shilepsky O'Connell Casey Hartley Michon

Yelen LLP

225 Franklin Street, 16th Floor Boston, MA 02110-2898 Telephone: 617.723.8000

Facsimile: 617.447.2800 Co-Counsel for Defendant

AMENDED ORDER ON REMAND FROM DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

At a session of said Court, held in the Federal Courthouse in the City of Flint, County of Genesee, State of Michigan, on:

November 20, 2006

PRESENT: WALLACE CAPEL, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DETR_135706.1

2:05-cv-74746-PDB-RSW Doc # 42 Filed 12/01/06 Pg 4 of 4 Pg ID 536

This matter came before the Court for hearing on the Order Remanding To the Magistrate

Judge To Set Forth Specific Reasons for Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Compel As To

Documents Not Compelled, filed on October 25, 2006.

Having heard oral argument on this matter, and for the reasons stated on the record at the

hearing held on November 20, 2006, the following items on the Defendant's Privilege Log are

deemed to be either protected under the work-product doctrine or attorney-client privilege

doctrine: Numbers 1-11, 15, 18-21, 29, 34, 35, 40 and 42-50. The transcript of the hearing held

on August 3, 2006 bears out the reasoning for this finding.

As stated in the transcript of the hearing held on August 3, 2006, an exhaustive review of

the submitted documents was conducted in camera. After that review, I found that as long as the

substance of the e-mails in question have been provided to counsel, that would be sufficient. I

further found that the documents in question which should not be turned over meet the standard

of either work-product or attorney-client privilege.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The parties are hereby informed that any objections to this order must be filed with the

district court within ten days after service, pursuant to Rule 72(a), Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure.

Dated: December 1, 2006

s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.

WALLACE CAPEL, JR.

United States Magistrate Judge

2